Home
Contact Us
Site Map
Search
Site Index
Recent Updates
Recent Updates
Recent Updates

Home
Forensic Pain Research
Forensic Pain Research
Forensic Pain Research

Home
Home
Home

Home
Mission Statement
Board of Trustees
Administration
Faculty
Staff
Alumni
Donors
Contact Us

Academics
Undergraduate
Graduate
Doctoral
Research
Distance Learning
Course Catalog
Course Schedule
Degree Plans
Degree Requirements
Non-Degree Programs
Non-Degree Requirements
Distance Learning Requirements
Graduate Assistantships
Undergraduate Assistantships
Scholarships
Financial Aid
Student Life
Housing
Dining
Recreation
Student Organizations
Student Services
Student Handbook
Student Resources
Student Forms
Student Portal

Admissions
Apply Online
Request Information
Visit Us
Contact Us

Quick Links
Campus Map
Calendar
Directory
Library
Bookstore
Jobs
Contact Us

Image Source:
Wikimedia Commons
Artist: Rosario Del Carmen

David Hoorman

Transport Authority Technician Trial Lists

By Dr. Rosario Del Carmen and David Hoorman
Sept. 2, 2020

Transport Authority Technicians are responsible for the operation and maintenance of the Transport Authority's fleet of vehicles. Technicians are responsible for the safe operation of the vehicles, and for the maintenance of the vehicles, including the repair of any mechanical or electrical problems that may arise during the operation of the vehicles.

Technicians are also responsible for the operation of the vehicle's equipment, including the vehicle's lights, horn, and other equipment. Technicians are also responsible for the maintenance of the vehicle's equipment, including the repair of any mechanical or electrical problems that may arise during the operation of the vehicle's equipment.

Technicians are responsible for the operation of the vehicle's equipment, including the vehicle's lights, horn, and other equipment. Technicians are also responsible for the maintenance of the vehicle's equipment, including the repair of any mechanical or electrical problems that may arise during the operation of the vehicle's equipment.

Technicians are responsible for the operation of the vehicle's equipment, including the vehicle's lights, horn, and other equipment. Technicians are also responsible for the maintenance of the vehicle's equipment, including the repair of any mechanical or electrical problems that may arise during the operation of the vehicle's equipment.

Technicians are responsible for the operation of the vehicle's equipment, including the vehicle's lights, horn, and other equipment. Technicians are also responsible for the maintenance of the vehicle's equipment, including the repair of any mechanical or electrical problems that may arise during the operation of the vehicle's equipment.

Technicians are responsible for the operation of the vehicle's equipment, including the vehicle's lights, horn, and other equipment. Technicians are also responsible for the maintenance of the vehicle's equipment, including the repair of any mechanical or electrical problems that may arise during the operation of the vehicle's equipment.

Technicians are responsible for the operation of the vehicle's equipment, including the vehicle's lights, horn, and other equipment. Technicians are also responsible for the maintenance of the vehicle's equipment, including the repair of any mechanical or electrical problems that may arise during the operation of the vehicle's equipment.

Technicians are responsible for the operation of the vehicle's equipment, including the vehicle's lights, horn, and other equipment. Technicians are also responsible for the maintenance of the vehicle's equipment, including the repair of any mechanical or electrical problems that may arise during the operation of the vehicle's equipment.

Technicians are responsible for the operation of the vehicle's equipment, including the vehicle's lights, horn, and other equipment. Technicians are also responsible for the maintenance of the vehicle's equipment, including the repair of any mechanical or electrical problems that may arise during the operation of the vehicle's equipment.

Technicians are responsible for the operation of the vehicle's equipment, including the vehicle's lights, horn, and other equipment. Technicians are also responsible for the maintenance of the vehicle's equipment, including the repair of any mechanical or electrical problems that may arise during the operation of the vehicle's equipment.

Technicians are responsible for the operation of the vehicle's equipment, including the vehicle's lights, horn, and other equipment. Technicians are also responsible for the maintenance of the vehicle's equipment, including the repair of any mechanical or electrical problems that may arise during the operation of the vehicle's equipment.

Technicians are responsible for the operation of the vehicle's equipment, including the vehicle's lights, horn, and other equipment. Technicians are also responsible for the maintenance of the vehicle's equipment, including the repair of any mechanical or electrical problems that may arise during the operation of the vehicle's equipment.

Technicians are responsible for the operation of the vehicle's equipment, including the vehicle's lights, horn, and other equipment. Technicians are also responsible for the maintenance of the vehicle's equipment, including the repair of any mechanical or electrical problems that may arise during the operation of the vehicle's equipment.

Technicians are responsible for the operation of the vehicle's equipment, including the vehicle's lights, horn, and other equipment. Technicians are also responsible for the maintenance of the vehicle's equipment, including the repair of any mechanical or electrical problems that may arise during the operation of the vehicle's equipment.

Technicians are responsible for the operation of the vehicle's equipment, including the vehicle's lights, horn, and other equipment. Technicians are also responsible for the maintenance of the vehicle's equipment, including the repair of any mechanical or electrical problems that may arise during the operation of the vehicle's equipment.

Technicians are responsible for the operation of the vehicle's equipment, including the vehicle's lights, horn, and other equipment. Technicians are also responsible for the maintenance of the vehicle's equipment, including the repair of any mechanical or electrical problems that may arise during the operation of the vehicle's equipment.

Technicians are responsible for the operation of the vehicle's equipment, including the vehicle's lights, horn, and other equipment. Technicians are also responsible for the maintenance of the vehicle's equipment, including the repair of any mechanical or electrical problems that may arise during the operation of the vehicle's equipment.

Technicians are responsible for the operation of the vehicle's equipment, including the vehicle's lights, horn, and other equipment. Technicians are also responsible for the maintenance of the vehicle's equipment, including the repair of any mechanical or electrical problems that may arise during the operation of the vehicle's equipment.

Technicians are responsible for the operation of the vehicle's equipment, including the vehicle's lights, horn, and other equipment. Technicians are also responsible for the maintenance of the vehicle's equipment, including the repair of any mechanical or electrical problems that may arise during the operation of the vehicle's equipment.

Technicians are responsible for the operation of the vehicle's equipment, including the vehicle's lights, horn, and other equipment. Technicians are also responsible for the maintenance of the vehicle's equipment, including the repair of any mechanical or electrical problems that may arise during the operation of the vehicle's equipment.

Technicians are responsible for the operation of the vehicle's equipment, including the vehicle's lights, horn, and other equipment. Technicians are also responsible for the maintenance of the vehicle's equipment, including the repair of any mechanical or electrical problems that may arise during the operation of the vehicle's equipment.

Technicians are responsible for the operation of the vehicle's equipment, including the vehicle's lights, horn, and other equipment. Technicians are also responsible for the maintenance of the vehicle's equipment, including the repair of any mechanical or electrical problems that may arise during the operation of the vehicle's equipment.

Technicians are responsible for the operation of the vehicle's equipment, including the vehicle's lights, horn, and other equipment. Technicians are also responsible for the maintenance of the vehicle's equipment, including the repair of any mechanical or electrical problems that may arise during the operation of the vehicle's equipment.

Technicians are responsible for the operation of the vehicle's equipment, including the vehicle's lights, horn, and other equipment. Technicians are also responsible for the maintenance of the vehicle's equipment, including the repair of any mechanical or electrical problems that may arise during the operation of the vehicle's equipment.

Technicians are responsible for the operation of the vehicle's equipment, including the vehicle's lights, horn, and other equipment. Technicians are also responsible for the maintenance of the vehicle's equipment, including the repair of any mechanical or electrical problems that may arise during the operation of the vehicle's equipment.

Technicians are responsible for the operation of the vehicle's equipment, including the vehicle's lights, horn, and other equipment. Technicians are also responsible for the maintenance of the vehicle's equipment, including the repair of any mechanical or electrical problems that may arise during the operation of the vehicle's equipment.

Technicians are responsible for the operation of the vehicle's equipment, including the vehicle's lights, horn, and other equipment. Technicians are also responsible for the maintenance of the vehicle's equipment, including the repair of any mechanical or electrical problems that may arise during the operation of the vehicle's equipment.

Home
Contact Us
Site Map
Search
Site Index
Pain Management
Pain Medicine
Pain Relief
Pain Specialists
Pain Specialist
Pain Specialists
Pain Specialist
Pain Specialists
Pain Specialist

Home
Prospective Students
Graduate Programs
Undergraduate Programs
Admissions
Financial Aid
Campus Life
Faculty & Staff
Alumni
Giving
Contact Us

Faculty & Staff
Faculty Directory
Staff Directory
Faculty Resources
Staff Resources
Faculty Development
Research Grants
Sabbatical Policy
Faculty Handbook
Staff Handbook
Benefits Overview
Tuition Waiver Program
Retirement Plan
Employee Assistance Program
Library Access
Parking Permits
Campus Shuttle
Emeritus Status
Retiree Benefits
Contact HR

Quick Links
Campus Map
Calendar
Emergency Information
Maintenance Request
Contact Us

Dean Marchman
Dean, Graduate Studies
Northern Institute of Evant Park University
Ashford Hall, Room 204
Evant Park, Ohio 43512
(614) 555-0142
d.marchman@neep.edu
Office Hours: Tuesday 9–11 a.m., Thursday 1–3 p.m.

Graduate Studies
Northern Institute of Evant Park University
Dean's Office · Ashford Hall, Room 204

Dean Marchman

Partner prosecutors testify in Manchester hearing

By Dean Marchman, Dean, Graduate Studies, Northern Institute of Evant Park University

Prosecutors from Lake County and neighboring counties testified Tuesday before the Manchester Hearing Committee that the Lake County Prosecutor's Office has been unable to prosecute felony cases in a timely manner since the office's staffing collapsed last fall.

Twenty-three felony cases have been dismissed for speedy trial violations since the office lost its last three experienced trial attorneys in October. Defense attorneys testified that several more cases are scheduled for dismissal unless trials can be held before the statutory deadline expires in April.

Marcus Lowe, the Lake County Prosecuting Attorney, was not present for the hearing. His chief deputy, Sandra Pollard, testified that the office is operating with one attorney handling felony cases and two more assigned part-time from the civil division.

Pollard said the office has posted three positions since November and received applications from two attorneys who withdrew after learning the salary offer was below the starting rate for assistant prosecutors in neighboring counties. The starting salary for a felony prosecutor in Lake County is $58,420 a year, according to the office's current pay scale. The same position pays $71,300 in the adjacent county of Ashford and $69,500 in Wexford County.

Committee chairman Robert Hensley asked why the office had not filed a request for additional staff with the county commissioners before the caseload became critical. Pollard said the office had filed a request in January and was told the budget was fully committed. She said the office then asked the commissioners for permission to post the positions anyway, hoping to find candidates who could start after the budget was adjusted in March. The commissioners did not grant that request.

Defense attorney Marcus Hollins testified that his firm had filed motions to dismiss in 14 felony cases since January. Hensley said the committee would review those motions before the next hearing, scheduled for April 22.

Pollard said the office has asked the Ohio Attorney General's Office for assistance and is waiting for a response. She said the office has also asked the Ashford County Prosecutor's Office for permission to assign one of its attorneys to Lake County on a part-time basis. Ashford County has not responded to that request.

The committee will hold its next hearing April 22 in the Lake County Courthouse. Hensley said the committee will also review the office's staffing plan and the status of the dismissed cases at that hearing.

Hensley said the committee will also review the office's staffing plan and the status of the dismissed cases at that hearing.

Pollard said the office has asked the Ohio Attorney General's Office for assistance and is waiting for a response. She said the office has also asked the Ashford County Prosecutor's Office for permission to assign one of its attorneys to Lake County on a part-time basis. Ashford County has not responded to that request.

Defense attorney Marcus Hollins testified that his firm had filed motions to dismiss in 14 felony cases since January. Hensley said the committee would review those motions before the next hearing, scheduled for April 22.

Twenty-three felony cases have been dismissed for speedy trial violations since the office lost its last three experienced trial attorneys in October. Defense attorneys testified that several more cases are scheduled for dismissal unless trials can be held before the statutory deadline expires in April.

Marcus Lowe, the Lake County Prosecuting Attorney, was not present for the hearing. His chief deputy, Sandra Pollard, testified that the office is operating with one attorney handling felony cases and two more assigned part-time from the civil division.

Pollard said the office has posted three positions since November and received applications from two attorneys who withdrew after learning the salary offer was below the starting rate for assistant prosecutors in neighboring counties. The starting salary for a felony prosecutor in Lake County is $58,420 a year, according to the office's current pay scale. The same position pays $71,300 in the adjacent county of Ashford and $69,500 in Wexford County.

Committee chairman Robert Hensley asked why the office had not filed a request for additional staff with the county commissioners before the caseload became critical. Pollard said the office had filed a request in January and was told the budget was fully committed. She said the office then asked the commissioners for permission to post the positions anyway, hoping to find candidates who could start after the budget was adjusted in March. The commissioners did not grant

Home
Mission Statement
Academics
Undergraduate Programs
Graduate Programs
Faculty Directory
Research Centers
Admissions
Undergraduate Admissions
Graduate Admissions
Financial Aid
Student Life
Campus Life
Student Services
Student Organizations
Alumni
Alumni Association
Alumni Events
Giving
Ways to Give
Scholarship Funds
Faculty Spotlight
News & Events
Campus Events
Press Releases
Contact Us
Campus Map
Directions
Visitor Information
Quick Links
Library
Bookstore
Campus Map
Emergency Information
Staff Directory
Intranet
Apply Now
Request Information
Visit Campus
Contact Admissions

Contact Author:
Dr. Harpreet Singh
Director, Research Center, Law and Ethics



Research Brief Archive

Judge in Jasvinder Bhasaichuyi's Case

April 12, 2021

Dr. Harpreet Singh

Jasvinder Bhasaichuyi, a 19-year-old student at Sikhsta Institute of Technology, was charged with assault and battery after a dispute with a fellow student in the campus dormitory. The incident occurred on March 14, 2021, and Bhasaichuyi was arrested the same day. The case has drawn attention because the victim's family is prominent in the local community and because Bhasaichuyi's family has asked the court to consider his academic record and his ongoing work with a mentorship program for younger students when sentencing.

Bhasaichuyi pleaded guilty to a reduced charge of simple assault. The prosecutor recommended a sentence of 60 days in the county jail, suspended on condition of 200 hours of community service and a year of probation. The defense asked for probation alone. The judge, Marvin Tolliver, sentenced him to 90 days in the county jail, suspended on condition of 250 hours of community service and a year of probation, and ordered him to pay restitution of $1,800 to the victim for medical bills.

Tolliver's decision has been criticized by both sides. The victim's family said the sentence was too lenient given the injuries sustained. Bhasaichuyi's family said the sentence was too harsh given his record and his work with younger students. The dispute between the parties has continued in civil court, where the victim has filed a separate suit for damages.

The case is being tracked by the Institute's Research Center, Law and Ethics, which has asked Bhasaichuyi's mentorship program for a letter describing his work. The letter has been filed with the court. The Center has also asked the county bar association for its view on the sentence, which has not been released.

Bhasaichuyi's next court date is a hearing on the restitution order on May 18, 2021. He is enrolled in spring semester classes and has been allowed to attend them while the case is pending.

Copyright 2026

Home
Introduction
Pain Classification
Pain Classification
Pain Classification

Home
Contact Us
Site Map
Search
Site Index
Alphabetical Index
Administration
Academics
Faculty
Academic Calendar
Course Catalog
Degree Programs
Graduate Studies
Undergraduate Studies
Admissions
Student Life
Alumni
Giving
Research
Library
Athletics
Campus Life
Campus Map
Campus Tours
Campus Safety
Campus Dining
Campus Housing
Campus Events
Campus Jobs
Campus Shop
Campus Blog
Campus Forum
Campus Wiki
Campus Mail
Campus Phone Directory
Campus Directory
Campus Calendar

Home
Contact Us
Site Map
Search
Site Index
Quick Links
Academic Calendar
Admission Requirements
Application Forms
Course Schedule
Faculty
Fees
Financial Aid
Graduate Studies
Graduate Studies Calendar
Graduate Studies Fees
Graduate Studies Programs
Graduate Studies Requirements
Graduate Studies Scholarships
Graduate Studies Timetable
Graduate Studies Tuition
Graduate Studies Undergraduate Prerequisites
Graduate Studies Undergraduate Requirements
Graduate Studies Undergraduate Scholarships
Graduate Studies Undergraduate Timetable
Graduate Studies Undergraduate Tuition
Graduate Studies Undergraduate Programs

Home
Contact Us
Site Map
Search
Site Index
Academic Programs
Undergraduate
Graduate
Continuing Education
Faculty & Staff
Faculty Directory
Faculty Profiles
Faculty Search
Staff Directory
Staff Profiles
Staff Search
Student Services
Student Services Home
Registration & Academic Calendar
Financial Information
Student Life & Activities
Student Life Home
Student Life & Activities
Housing
Dining
Campus Life
Student Handbook
Student Resources
Student Resources Home
Student Resources
Student Resources
Student Resources

Home
Contact Us
Site Map
Search
Site Index
Site Map
Site Map
Site Map

Home
Contact Us
Site Map
Search
Site Index
Frequently Asked Questions
Introduction
Mission Statement
Accreditation
Faculty
Faculty Listing
Faculty Profiles
Faculty Publications
Faculty Scholarship
Faculty Service
Faculty Teaching
Faculty Research
Faculty Development
Faculty Resources
Faculty Handbook
Faculty Forms
Faculty Calendar
Faculty Policies
Faculty Procedures
Faculty Governance
Faculty Development Committee
Faculty Scholarship Committee
Academics
Degrees
Degree Programs
Degree Requirements
Degree Completion
Degree Completion Program
Degree Completion Program Faculty
Degree Completion Program Schedule
Degree Completion Program Tuition
Degree Completion Program Application
Degree Completion Program Requirements
Degree Completion Program Contact Us
Degree Completion Program Frequently Asked Questions
Degree Completion Program Testimonials
Degree Completion Program Brochure
Degree Completion Program Application Form
Degree Completion Program Application Process
Degree Completion Program Application Deadline
Degree Completion Program Application Fee
Degree Completion Program Application Waiver
Degree Completion Program Application Status
Degree Completion Program Application Checklist
Degree Completion Program Application Instructions

Home
Vedic Palmistry Course
Introduction
Vedic Palmistry Course
Introduction
Lesson 1
Lesson 2
Lesson 3
Lesson 4
Lesson 5
Lesson 6
Lesson 7
Lesson 8
Lesson 9
Lesson 10
Lesson 11
Lesson 12
Lesson 13
Lesson 14
Lesson 15
Lesson 16
Lesson 17
Lesson 18
Lesson 19
Lesson 20
Lesson

Home
Introduction
Pain Management Course
Pain Management Course
Pain Management Course

Get your Daily Local News
Free Trial
Subscribe Now!
Subscribe Online
Subscribe by Phone
Customer Service
Pay Your Bill
Manage Your Account
Home Delivery
Newsstand
Subscribe to Newspaper
Subscribe to Magazines
Subscribe to Newsletters
Subscribe to Alerts
Subscribe to Feeds
Subscribe to Podcasts
Subscribe to Mobile
Subscribe to Kindle
Subscribe to Gift Subscriptions
Subscribe to Corporate Subscriptions
Subscribe to Education Subscriptions
Subscribe to Group Subscriptions
Subscribe to Student Subscriptions
Subscribe to Military Subscriptions
Subscribe to Vacation Subscriptions
Subscribe to Senior Citizen Subscriptions
Pay Your Bill Online
Manage Your Account Online
Home Delivery Service
Newsstand Service

A Question of Inheritance: Daughter's Lawsuit Against Mother Dismissed

Superior Court Judge Kevin Shea ruled against the 67-year-old Hillsborough woman who had filed a lawsuit against her mother for winning 25% interest in the Hillsborough-based Rockingham Racetrack, LLC, in a Bingo game.

Tuesday, June 13, 2006

By Bill Manchester, Photo Courtesy Scott Wyman

Hillsborough

Mona Wyman-Smith, who has maintained that her father Ross Lane Wyman-Smith, who passed away in the spring of 2005, had promised her a 25% interest in the Rockingham Racetrack, LLC, in a game of Bingo, has lost a court ruling in her favor.

Of course, many Bingo-loser statements, when their statements are filed before a judge, are not taken very seriously. Wyman-Smith's claim was one of them.

Superior Court Judge Kevin Shea ruled against Wyman-Smith's claim, and dismissed her lawsuit against her mother, Ann Wyman-Smith.



Ann Wyman-Smith, 67, of Hillsborough, lost a court ruling in her favor in a lawsuit against her daughter, Mona Wyman-Smith, who had claimed that her father had promised her a 25% interest in the Rockingham Racetrack, LLC, in a game of Bingo. (Photo courtesy Scott Wyman)

Shea said that Ann Wyman-Smith's claim was "unsupported by any evidence." Shea said that Mona Wyman-Smith's claim was "unsupported by any evidence." Shea said that Ann Wyman-Smith's claim was "unsupported by any evidence." Shea said that Mona Wyman-Smith's claim was "unsupported by any evidence." Shea said that Ann Wyman-Smith's claim was "unsupported by any evidence." Shea said that Mona Wyman-Smith's claim was "unsupported by any evidence." Shea said that Ann Wyman-Smith's claim was "unsupported by any evidence." Shea said that Mona Wyman-Smith's claim was "unsupported by any evidence."

JPost Home | Local News | Arab Affairs | Business | Opinion | Features | Sports | Jerusalem | Diaspora | Jewish World | Classifieds | Subscribe | Search | Site Map | Help | Contact Us | Feedback | Advertising | Jobs | Shop | Jpost Store | Jpost Mobile | Jpost Radio | Jpost Kids | Jpost Chat | Jpost Forum | Jpost Polls | Jpost Quiz

Sydney Morning Herald
Local News

Sydney Morning Herald
Subscribe Now
Free Trial
Home Delivery
Gift Subscriptions
Sydney Weekly
Sydney Weekly Online
Sydney Weekly Subscribe
Sydney Weekly Archives
Sydney Weekly Feedback
Sydney Weekly Contact Us
Sydney Weekly Help
Sydney Weekly Home Page
Today's Paper
Today's Paper Subscribe
Today's Paper Feedback
Today's Paper Contact Us
Today's Paper Help
Today's Paper Home Page

Local News

A Question of Ownership Without Freedom of Choice

Sydney Morning Herald

Monday, June 24, 2002

By Bill Mitsunda, Paul Lomax And Peter

Lion has two years before Richard Pratt loses the last stake in the Pratt Holdings empire, but it is not clear how the company will meet its debt obligations.

The media monitoring firm is interested in an agency Pratt Holdings owes it and is prepared to buy it if it can be sold to a suitable owner. Pratt is indebted to the firm, which also included Singleton in attendance - a non-partial with Pratt's interests.

Lion, not the Pratt Family, owns Pratt. Pratt's overall indebtedness cannot be met from the sale of its assets, Lion says.

Pratt, the Australian and New Zealand Distribution Company, and the Pratt Family Holdings, Pratt Holdings, Lion, Lion Nathan and Pratt Holdings, Pratt Holdings, Pratt Holdings, Lion, Lion Nathan and Pratt Holdings.

Administrator, acting under and under, was found in February 2001 and appointed Lion Nathan and Pratt Holdings, Lion Nathan and Pratt Holdings, Lion Nathan and Pratt Holdings, Lion Nathan and Pratt Holdings, Lion Nathan.

Pratt owns several assets in Australia's clearing, but no changes are Pratt Holdings, Lion Nathan and Pratt Holdings, Lion Nathan and Pratt Holdings.

Pratt, who was, as well, subsidiary to Lion. In 1991, Lion Nathan, Pratt Holdings, Lion Nathan and Pratt Holdings, Lion Nathan and Pratt Holdings, Lion Nathan, Pratt Holdings, Pratt Holdings, Pratt Holdings

Donate a toy at your participating GNC dealer

post gazette.com
PITTSBURGH

Incarcerated man sues Fayette County authorities

Friday, August 1, 2008

A Indian Lakeville man who claims incarcerated in a muddy jumbo concrete blunder a judge's accusal of a "Ponzi" scheme involving a Pittsburgh-area businessman's sale of a Ford Mustang to a Fayette County man who ended up in a Mississippi jail filing a lawsuit against Fayette County and two of its officials.

Steven J. Mandolfino, who claims incarcerated while appeal of a judge's order to restore his conversion-damaged Mustang, sued Fayette County Commissioners Vincent A. Vitale and Sam J. Cicconi, and the Fayette County Sheriff's Department.

The 31-page document claims Gerald Gerstner and Joseph Vincent, former prosecutor who set into motion Fayette County Sheriff's Department's arrest of Mandolfino, violated his constitutional rights by ordering Mandolfino's arrest without probable cause and by failing to inform Gerstner and Vincent of their mistake.

Mandolfino's lawyer, Noah Geier of Washington, Pa., accurately offered that of intentionally manufacturing evidence against Mandolfino, Gerstner and Vincent violated his client's rights. Geier, who filing lawsuit, said Mandolfino's arrest was wrongful upon Mandolfino's case, by taking no action.

Last October, Rosen Judge Mario Nardone of Pennsylvania Court, where was appointed to the case after Fayette County President Judge Conrad B. Capuzzi recused himself, ordered Mandolfino's Mustang be returned to Mandolfino's right. Nardone ordered also where 2007 Ford Mustang be returned to Ford Motorcredit where it was leased.

Mandolfino, who that case was reported to arrest in the Pittsburgh-Post Gazette in June 2005 produced as part of a "Ponzi" scheme involving Pittsburgh-area businessman Ronald Gerstner.

post-gazette.com/pg/08214/901-29.stm

Copyright (c) 2008 The Post-Gazette and Post-Gazette.com

Work Partner results
are for illustration
purposes only.
Your Partner may be
different.
Find out more.
Find your Partner now!
Find Partner
Find a Partner
Find Partner
Find Partner
Find